

## Lecture 4: Linear combination of unitaries

Lecturer: Simon Apers

In the previous lecture we encoded a general Hermitian matrix  $H$  into a unitary quantum walk operator. We combined this operator with phase estimation to apply a function of  $H$  onto a quantum state (e.g.,  $e^{iHt}$  for Hamiltonian simulation and  $H^{-1}$  for linear system solving). The cost scaled with some problem parameter, and was inversely proportional to the precision  $\varepsilon$  (cost  $\sim t/\varepsilon$  for Hamiltonian simulation,  $\sim \kappa^2/\varepsilon$  for linear system solving). This linear scaling in the error is intrinsic to the use of phase estimation. In this lecture we see that an alternative technique called *linear combination of unitaries* (LCU) [CW12] allows us to implement a function  $f(H)$  more directly and more precisely.

## 1 Linear combination of unitaries

Consider a Hermitian matrix  $H$  with  $\|H\|_1 < 1$ , and let  $W$  be the QW operator based on  $H$ . Let  $\Pi_0 = |0\rangle\langle 0|$ . We saw in the last lecture that

$$(I \otimes \Pi_0)U_\psi^\dagger W^t U_\psi |\chi\rangle |0\rangle = (T_t(H) |\chi\rangle) |0\rangle. \quad (1)$$

I.e., if we project into the right subspace, then a quantum walk effectively applies the  $t$ -th Chebyshev polynomial  $T_t(H)$  on  $|\chi\rangle$ . We will use that the Chebyshev polynomials form a basis for the polynomials.

**Exercise 1.** • Verify that the Chebyshev polynomials form an orthogonal set w.r.t. the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-1}^{+1} f(x)g(x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}.$$

*Hint: use that  $T_t(\cos(\theta)) = \cos(t\theta)$ .*

- Moreover, they form a basis: any degree- $d$  polynomial can be described as a linear combination of the first  $d+1$  Chebyshev polynomials,  $x^\tau = \sum_{t=0}^{\tau} \alpha_t T_t(x)$ , with coefficients

$$\alpha_t = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^\tau} \binom{\tau}{\tau/2} & \text{if } t = 0 \text{ and } \tau = 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{1}{2^{\tau-1}} \binom{\tau}{(\tau-t)/2} & \text{if } t > 0 \text{ and } \tau = t \pmod{2} \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

*Prove this. Hint: use the fact that  $\cos(\theta) = (e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta})/2$ .*

As a consequence, we can try to implement a general polynomial  $f(H) = \sum_t \alpha_t T_t(H)$  to the quantum state  $|\chi\rangle$  by implementing a linear combination  $\sum_t \alpha_t W^t$  of the quantum walk evolution (1) for different times. However, this no longer corresponds to a unitary operator. The LCU technique allows us to bypass this restriction (again, by mapping the nonunitary dynamics to a subspace of certain unitary dynamics).

The technique uses the “controlled” version of the QW operator (which also shows up in phase estimation). For some integer  $\tau \geq 0$ , this operator is defined by

$$cW = \sum_{t=0}^{\tau} W^t \otimes |t\rangle \langle t|.$$

You can think of the cost of the controlled operator as essentially  $\tau$  steps of the QW operator  $W$ . Applying this operator to a state  $|\phi\rangle |t'\rangle$  gives

$$cW |\phi\rangle |t'\rangle = W^{t'} |\phi\rangle |t'\rangle,$$

so it applies a number of QW steps given by the second register. Now assume that  $\sum_t |\alpha_t| = 1$ ,<sup>1</sup> and define the “clock” state

$$U_{\text{cl}} |0\rangle = \sum_t \sqrt{\alpha_t} |t\rangle.$$

The LCU technique puts this state into the clock register, applies the conditioned QW operator, and then inverts the clock operation. This yields the state  $(I \otimes U_{\text{cl}}^\dagger) cW (I \otimes U_{\text{cl}}) |\phi\rangle |0\rangle$ . Looking at this state in the right subspace reveals what we are interested in.

**Exercise 2.** • Analyze what the (projected) output of the LCU algorithm corresponds to, given by

$$(I \otimes \Pi_0)(I \otimes U_{\text{cl}}^\dagger) cW (I \otimes U_{\text{cl}}) |\phi\rangle |0\rangle.$$

- Now let  $cW$  be the controlled quantum walk operator, and let  $|\chi\rangle |0\rangle$  be the initial state of the quantum walk. Analyze what the following state corresponds to:

$$(I \otimes \Pi_0 \otimes \Pi_0)(I \otimes U_{\text{cl}}^\dagger)(U_\psi^\dagger \otimes I) cW (U_\psi \otimes I)(I \otimes U_{\text{cl}}) |\chi\rangle |0\rangle |0\rangle.$$

## 2 Matrix powering and quantum fast-forwarding

As an illustration of the LCU technique we consider the problem of matrix powering, where we wish to return a state of the form  $H^\tau |\psi\rangle$  (up to normalization) for some integer  $\tau \geq 0$ . This corresponds to implementing the polynomial  $f(x) = x^\tau$ .

**Exercise 3.** • Let  $W$  be the QW operator associated to  $H$ . Explicitly describe the LCU algorithm based on  $W$  for constructing the state  $H^\tau |\psi\rangle / \|H^\tau |\psi\rangle\|_2$ . What is the cost of this algorithm?

- **Quantum fast-forwarding.** We can implement an approximation of  $H^\tau$  more efficiently by truncating the expansion  $x^\tau = \sum_t \alpha_t T_t(x)$ .

- Consider independent and uniformly distributed random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_\tau \in \{+1, -1\}$ , and let  $Y_\tau = \sum_{k=1}^\tau X_k$ . Prove that the coefficients in Eq. (2) satisfy  $\alpha_t = \Pr(|Y_\tau| = t)$ .
- By Hoeffding’s theorem we know that  $\Pr(|Y_\tau| > r) \leq 2 \exp(-r^2/(2\tau))$  for any  $r \geq 0$ . Use this to prove that there exists  $d \in O(\sqrt{\tau \log(1/\varepsilon)})$  such that the degree- $d$  polynomial

$$h(x) = \sum_{t=0}^d \alpha_t T_t(x)$$

satisfies  $|h(x) - x^\tau| \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in [-1, 1]$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>In fact, a similar argument applies as long as  $\sum_t |\alpha_t| \in \Theta(1)$ .

- What is the cost of the LCU algorithm based on the polynomial  $h$  for implementing the function  $H^\tau$  with error  $\varepsilon$ ?

This technique was first described in [AS19]. It was used in the recent resolution [AGJK20] of a long-standing problem related to quantum walk search: can we find an element from a marked set  $M$  in time  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{HT(M)})$ ? In previous lectures we proved the weaker bound  $\tilde{O}(1/\sqrt{\delta\pi(M)})$ .

### 3 Hamiltonian simulation

In the case of Hamiltonian simulation, we are given some initial state  $|\chi\rangle$ , a Hermitian matrix  $H$  and a time  $t \geq 0$ , and we wish to output the state  $e^{iHt}|\chi\rangle$ . This corresponds to implementing the function  $f(x) = e^{ix}$ . For the case where  $\|H\|_1 < 1$ , we described an algorithm based on quantum walks and phase estimation with complexity  $\tilde{O}(\tau/\varepsilon)$ . We will use LCU to improve the error dependency to  $\log(1/\varepsilon)$ .

We focus on the case where  $\|H\|_1 < 1$  and  $\tau \leq 1$ . To use LCU, we must find a polynomial  $h(x) = \sum_t \alpha_t T_t(x)$  such that  $\sum_t |\alpha_t| \in \Theta(1)$  and  $|h(x) - e^{ix}| \leq \varepsilon$  for  $|x| < 1$ .

**Exercise 4.** • Find an expansion  $e^{ix\tau} = \sum_{t \geq 0} \alpha_t T_t(x)$  using the Jacobi-Anger expansion

$$e^{i\cos(\theta)\tau} = J_0(\tau) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} i^k J_k(\tau) \cos(k\theta).$$

Here the function  $J_k(y)$  corresponds to the  $k$ -th Bessel function of the first kind.

- Use that  $|J_k(\tau)| \leq \frac{1}{k!2^k}$  for  $\tau \leq 1$  to show that  $\sum_t |\alpha_t| \in \Theta(1)$ .
- Show that there exists  $d \in O(\log(1/\varepsilon))$  such that  $h(x) = \sum_{t=0}^d \alpha_t T_t(x)$  satisfies  $|h(x) - e^{ix}| \leq \varepsilon$  for  $|x| < 1$ .<sup>2</sup>
- Describe the LCU algorithm based on  $h$  for Hamiltonian simulation with  $\|H\|_1 < 1$ ,  $\tau \leq 1$  and error  $\varepsilon > 0$ . What is its cost?

Using standard tools, this algorithm can be extended to  $\varepsilon$ -approximate general Hamiltonians for arbitrary times  $\tau \geq 0$  with cost  $O(\tau\|H\|_1 \log(1/\varepsilon))$ .

## References

- [AGJK20] Andris Ambainis, András Gilyén, Stacey Jeffery, and Martins Kokainis. Quadratic speedup for finding marked vertices by quantum walks. In *Proceedings of the 52nd ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, pages 412–424. ACM, 2020. arxiv:1903.07493.
- [AS19] Simon Apers and Alain Sarlette. Quantum fast-forwarding Markov chains and property testing. *Quantum Information & Computation*, 19(3&4):181–213, 2019. arXiv:1804.02321.
- [CW12] Andrew M. Childs and Nathan Wiebe. Hamiltonian simulation using linear combinations of unitary operations. *Quantum Information & Computation*, 12(11–12):901–924, 2012.

---

<sup>2</sup>While harder to prove, you could even choose  $d \in O(\log(1/\varepsilon)/\log \log(1/\varepsilon))$ .